

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,199	12/20/2001	Norbert Maurer	INEX.P-005 6234  EXAMINER	
21121 75	590 07/01/2004			
OPPEDAHL AND LARSON LLP P O BOX 5068		KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S		
DILLON, CO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/019,199	MAURER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gollamudi S Kishore, PhD	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated abandonent which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailinb) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriation in the final the final the final in the	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer						
	((3)( 1 10 1440) 1 apoi 140(0)	<del></del>				
10. Other:		Gollamudi S Kishor Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: independent claim 13 recites 'charged therapeutic agent' and not highly charged polynucleotides. As already pointed out Hope teaches on col. 10, lines 10-13 that the charge of the molecule can be adjusted and in particular states that charge oligonucleotides can be converted to less highly charged analogs which continue to display biologically active by methylation or conversion to the corresponding phosphorothioates, methylphosphonates and the like and therefore, it is implicit from Hope's teachings that polynucleotides can also be loaded if the charge is reduced. Dr. Hope's statements in the declaration based on the tests conducted between 1990-1992 are not found to be persuasive.

Bolkanadi G. Kishore, Phi Primary Examiner

Gruin 1**2**00